Guide for Review of SHP Overall Management Systems				
Name of Grantee:				
Staff Consu	ted:			
Name(s) of		Date		
Reviewer(s)				
NOTE: All questions that address requirements contain the citation for the source of the requirement (statute, regulation, NOFA, or grant agreement). If the requirement is not met, HUD must make a finding of noncompliance. All other questions (questions that do not contain the citation for the requirement) do not address requirements, but are included to assist the reviewer in understanding the grantee's program more fully and/or to identify issues that, if not properly addressed, could result in deficient performance. Negative conclusions to these questions may result in a "concern" being raised, but not a "finding." Instructions: This Exhibit is designed to evaluate the SHP grantee's ability to carry out the administrative responsibilities for its SHP funds. The HUD reviewer is to answer Exhibit questions based on staff interviews and file reviews, following the sampling guidance in Section 13-3 of the introduction to this Chapter.				
Questions:	1			
	s the grantee's system or procedure for ensurable lance with all program requirements?	ing tha	t SHP funds are use	ed in
Describe	Basis for Conclusion:			
SHP Exhib	he grantee have written procedures describing program? (If so, attach copies, or relevant portit.) Basis for Conclusion:	_	•	Yes No
Describe	PROESTON CONCRUSIONS			

13-1 09/2005

2.						
	How are responsibilities for implementing and managing the SHP program assigned and delegated? Describe Basis for Conclusion:					
	<u> </u>					
	Describe Basis for Conclusion:					
3.						
	Are the duties for administrative personnel defined by job descriptions that		П			
	match those submitted in the approved homeless assistance competition	<u> </u>				
	application?	Yes	No			
	Describe Basis for Conclusion:					
	Describe Busis for Conclusion.					
1						
4.			$\overline{}$			
	Does a randomly selected review of administrative personnel costs reveal any		Ш			
	staff being paid from SHP funds but not working on SHP-funded activities?	Yes	No			
	[24 CFR 583.135 (b)]					
	Describe Basis for Conclusion:					

09/2005 13-2

5.			
	Does a randomly selected review of cost records show that SHP funds have		
	been disbursed for eligible activities (e.g., acquisition, rehabilitation, new	\ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \	NI-
	construction, leasing, supportive services or operating expenses)?	Yes	No
	[24 CFR 583.135(b)]		
	Describe Basis for Conclusion:		
	Describe Dasis for Conclusion.		
б.			
ο.			
	Does the grantee review for evidence of conflicts of interest between itself and		
	sponsors or contractors?	Yes	No
	Describe Basis for Conclusion:	165	INO
	Describe Busis for Conclusion.		
7.			
	a. Has a system been developed to track progress of each SHP-funded project		
	or activity in the program?	Yes	No
	Describe Basis for Conclusion:		
	b. If developed, does the tracking system include a timetable with		
	scheduled completion dates?	Ш	ш
	1100	No No	N/A
	Describe Basis for Conclusion:		

13-3 09/2005

×
O

	If the grantee charges indirect costs to the SHP program, are such costs supported by an Indirect Cost Rate/Cost Allocation Plan prepared in accordance with OMB Circular A-87, Attachment A, Section F, Attachments C and E (for governmental units) or OMB Circular A-122, Attachment A, Section C (for nonprofit organizations)? [24 CFR 583.330]	Yes	No	N/A
	Describe Basis for Conclusion:			
).				
	If the grantee charges indirect costs to the SHP program, are the costs current and approved? [24 CFR 583.330]	Yes	□ No	N/A
	Describe Basis for Conclusion:			
0.				
	Are the administrative costs charged to the SHP, whether direct or indirect, eligible costs? [24 CFR 583.135]		Yes	No
	Describe Basis for Conclusion:			

09/2005 13-4